

Off-Site Renewable Energy

Stakeholder Workshop #1 24th Nov 2016



Project Overview

Title	Facilitating large energy user deployment of off-site renewable generation
Funding	CRC for Low Carbon Living
Duration	12 months
Motivation	Recent market explosion in the US Initial movements in Australia but perceived lack of transparency/information
Methodology	Case studies Market survey Stakeholder workshops





Project Rationale - Objectives

Rationale

To bring information into the public domain which supports end user decision making and reduces transaction costs associated with implementing direct procurement deals

Objectives

- 1. to explore the options available to end users in directly procuring offsite renewable energy; and
- 2. to describe the market for such services in the Australian electricity industry context;
- 3. to describe the current status of offsite contracting in Australia and identify drivers and barriers to market development.





Transaction costs and market maturity – where we want to go

Rationale

To bring information into the public domain which supports end user decision making and reduces transaction costs associated with implementing direct procurement deals





Market Survey

Interviews completed with 31 end users, 6 retailers, 6 project developers and 4 intermediaries.

Drivers and attitudes

- Energy costs
- CSR and RE
- Drivers
- Experience and attitudes:
 - Green Power
 - On-site generation
 - Off-site generation

Off-site RE preferences

- Buy vs own
- Green or black
- Counterparty identity
- Aggregation
- Additionality

Forward looking

- View on the likely development in Aust.
- Barriers
- Recommendations

For electricity retailers

- Business drivers
- Product offerings
- Deal preferences

For project developers

- Business drivers
- Deal preferences
- Financing
- Risk allocation



Case Studies



Project name	Who?	BOO/ Buy	Single/ Aggreg.	Black/ LGC only	Retailer involved?	Status	Case study?
Desalination Plant	Sydney Water	Buy	Single	Black+LGC	Retailer = developer	Complete	
Singleton Solar Deal	UTS	Buy	Single	Black only	Supply unserved load	(Pre-existing)	*
RE Reverse Auctions	ACT state govt	Buy	Single	LGC only	No	Complete	
RE Purchasing	Victorian state govt	Buy	Single	LGC only	No	Construction	*
Sunshine Coast Solar Farm	Sunshine Coast City Council	BOO	Single	n/a	Pass through spot exposure	Construction	*
Melbourne RE Project (MREP)	Melbourne City Council	Buy	Aggreg	Black+LGC	PPA counterparty	Tender	*
Sydney Metro North West	Transport for NSW	Buy	Single	Black+LGC (probably)	PPA counterp (probably)	Tender	*
RE Buyers Forum	WWF/JLL	Buy	Aggreg	Black+LGC	PPA counterparty	EOI	
Summerhill Solar Farm	Newcastle City Council	BOO ? (TBC)	Single	n/a	ТВС	EOI	*
Solar Trams	Yarra Trams	Buy	Single	n/a	n/a	Did not proceed	



Stakeholder Workshops



- Get **feedback** from stakeholders
- Generate discussion
- Engage stakeholders in activities to explore details
- Enable networking between stakeholder groups

9:00 am	Presentation 1: Business structures
9:45 am	Stakeholder activity 1
10:30 am	Morning tea
11:00 am	Presentation 2: Market structures
11:45 am	Stakeholder activity 2
12:15 pm	Final question time
12:30 pm	Lunch



Session 1 – Business Structures





Session 1 - Business Structures

- *Key question* What do you want to procure?
 - 'Green' LGCs as offsets to purchased 'grid' electricity
 - 'Green' and/or 'Black' LCGs and/or financial pass through of generation value
- How to structure the relationship between the End User, Retailer, and Project Developer? Focussing on generation pass through





Black Pass Through - Context



- The electricity system doesn't match specific sources of supply and demand at a physical level.
- 'Pass through' of contracted generation is therefore a financial construct rather than a physical one
- Key concept:
 - Generator revenue depends on generation timing and wholesale electricity market prices (generation revenue)
 - End user load pays depending on timing and wholesale electricity market prices (load payment)



Black Pass Through – Context

- If timing of generation and load match perfectly, revenue and payment cancel, eliminating market exposure
- If, there is a mismatch between generation and load, a residual financial market exposure exists (balancing risk)

Example

- PV will only cover load during daylight hours leaving evening/night load exposed to market prices.
- Area under the generation curve can be netted off total load payment -> just pay for the cost of generation.





Role of an Electricity Retailer

- Electricity Retailers manage electricity market risk on behalf of end users.
 - An electricity retailer is required at some level to pass through generation value (we will go into that further)
 - Risk associated with residual (load-generation mismatch) needs to be allocated and managed
- If an end user wishes to purchase RECs as green offsets then no electricity retailer is required in this capacity
- If the pass through of 'black' generation value is desired there is a question as to;
 - How best to structure relationship between parties
 - How best to allocate responsibility for residual 'balancing' market risk



Session 1 Exercise - Business Structures

- The focus of the following exercise is on the pass through of 'black'
- There are three structures which are presented with respect to different approaches to allocating risk and enabling financial pass through of generation value.
- We are interested in your views:
 - What positives and negatives do you perceive?
 - What factors do you thing are important in considering the different approaches?
 - What are your preferences with respect to the different structures?
- Your facilitator will start by brainstorming pros and cons
- At the end of the exercise fill out the voting slip and say why you chose the option you did.



Approach 1 - Retailer as PPA Counterparty

- This is the approach which corresponds most closely to the status quo
- The retailer holds the PPA as the counterparty either on behalf of the end user
- The end user would have a retail contract of the same length as the PPA duration
- The retailer would provide balancing services and pass through generation value
 + the cost of servicing the balancing load
- The end user just has to deal with the electricity retailer, single contract to manage





Approach 1 – Issues and Example

ISSUES TO CONSIDER

- Reduction in retail market flexibility, end user is locked into (long term retail agreement) for both contracted RE and balancing grid electricity
- Who gets to choose the project to be contracted with, the retailer or the end user? There is a negotiation challenge.
- The pricing of balancing grid electricity over the longer term such that the retailer doesn't exercise un-due pricing power.
- Retailer needs to have a high enough credit rating to bank a PPA. Not all retailers have such a credit rating.

EXAMPLE(S)

• Both the JLL-WWF Renewable Energy Buyers Forum and Melbourne City Council are utilising this approach. Approach lends itself to aggregation.

Project name	Who?	BOO/Buy	Single/ Aggreg.	Black/ LGC only	Retailer involved?	Status	Case study?
Melbourne RE Project (MREP)	Melbourne City Council	Buy	Aggreg	Black+LGC	PPA counterparty	Tender	*
RE Buyers Forum	WWF/JLL	Buy	Aggreg	Black+LGC	PPA counterparty	EOI	



<u>Approach 2 – End User as Counterparty – Pass</u> <u>Through</u>

- End user acts as the PPA counterparty, end user enters into a PPA with a project developer of their choice
- Pays the project developer directly in respect of contracted renewable generation
- End user then contracts with an electricity retailer who is happy to facilitate pass through and balance mismatched load.
- Retailer receives generation settlement revenue, which offsets financial exposure to market, and the end user pays the retailer in respect of net load.





Approach 2 – Issues and Example

ISSUES

- The end user is able to retain retail market flexibility
- Need to find an electricity retailer who is happy to take the generation
- End user not restricted in choice of project they wish to contract with, end user is bearing all the counterparty risk
- Balancing services/risk is placed naturally with the electricity retailer
- Does not lend itself to aggregation therefore scale may be a larger barrier
- Smaller retailers, with poorer credit ratings, can provide these services

EXAMPLE(S)

• UTS-Singleton solar farm

Project name	Who?	BOO/Buy	Single/ Aggreg.	Black/ LGC only	Retailer involved?	Status	Case study?
Singleton Solar Deal	UTS	Buy	Single	Black+LGC	Supply unserved load	Complete	*



<u>Approach 3 – End User as Counterparty – Self</u> <u>Hedge</u>

- End user acts as the PPA counterparty, end user enters into a PPA with a project developer of their choice
- Pays the project developer directly in respect of contracted renewable generation
- Retailer passes through market spot price exposure, possibly according to time of the day
- End user utilises generation revenue or difference contract to self hedge wholesale market exposure.
- End user either bears settlement mismatch risk or purchases additional hedging products.





Approach 3 – Issues and Example

ISSUES

- Retain retail market flexibility
- End user not restricted in choice of project they wish to contract with, but they bear project developer counterparty risk
- End user accepts market mismatch risk in the first instance, Additional risk management products may be required
- End user bears a greater contract admin/settlement load/more complex
- Smaller retailers, with poorer credit ratings, can provide these services

EXAMPLE(S)

Sunshine Coast City Council

Project name	Who?	BOO/Buy	Single/ Aggreg.	Black/ LGC only	Retailer involved?	Status	Case study?
Sunshine Coast Solar Farm	Sunshine Coast City Council	воо	Single	n/a	Pass through spot exposure	Construction	*



Exercise Instructions

- On each table are copies of the slides showing each option
- We are interested in your views:
 - What positives and negatives do you perceive?
 - What factors do you thing are important in considering the different approaches?
 - What are your preferences with respect to the different structures?
- Your facilitator will start by brainstorming pros and cons
- At the end of the exercise fill out the voting slip and say why you chose the option you did.



Questions / Discussion

(followed by morning tea)



Session 2 – The Market for Offsite RE

• Context: The market for offsite RE is part of a larger market for renewable energy procurement which includes Green Power and behind the meter RE.



- This session will focus on the development of a market for offsite RE services/products by considering
 - What gets sold/bought in this market?
 - Process of matching end users and project developers
 - How are interactions facilitated/information flows?



Three level 'funnel' map





Some Objectives

- Some objectives for a offsite RE contracting market;
 - End user needs and preferences are met;
 - Mutually beneficial exchange is realised;
 - Contracting and deal making is efficient (transaction costs are minimised);
 - Sufficient information is available to enable rational decision making;





Product vs Service

- Given our *objectives* (end user preferences, mutual benefit, efficient contracting, information for rational decision making)
- Imaging the future market for offsite RE as consisting of two modes of supply side/demand side interaction:
 - Product led or Service led
- In this case the 'good' is the same; it is the procurement of offsite RE, but the manner in which the parameters of that 'good' are determined and end user needs satisfied is different.
 - A *product led* approach to the development of an offsite RE market involves the supply side of the offsite RE market developing a set of standardised offerings (products) from which end users choose.
 - A *service led* approach to the development of an offsite RE market involves the end user engaging with the supply side in order to seek out specific solution (likely non-standardised) which meets their specific needs.



Product vs Service

- The market will likely consist of a mix between these two modes

Questions for brain storming

- What will be the mix between product led and service led outcomes
- What factors will be important in determining this mix?
- What might these 'products' end up looking like?





Product vs Solution - Term & New vs Existing

- Introducing two additional parameters to the product vs solution question
- **New/Existing** Contracting with existing generation offers the prospect of shorter term agreements.
 - New generation requires longer term offtake agreements (10+ years)
 - Existing generation open to shorter term agreements (2 5 years)

Questions for brain storming

- What role will end user choice between New and Existing generation influence solution vs product led outcomes?
- Do you agree with the following, if so why? if not why?





Product vs Solution – Facilitation and Sale

- End users core business activities are generally not related to energy and lack skills in house to manage generation procurement.
- End users require information, skills, and additional facilitation to procure offsite RE
- There are a range of entities who are positioned to facilitate
 - Energy brokers
 - Electricity retailers
 - NGO/Government/Quasi Government (Councils)
 - Aggregation Groups

Question for brain storming:

- Who do you think the main groups will be in facilitating end user procurement?





Exercise Instructions

 On each table are copies of the slides showing the brainstorming questions

Questions for brain storming:

- What will be the mix between product led and service led outcomes
- What factors will be important in determining this mix?
- What might these 'products' end up looking like?

Questions for brain storming:

- What role will end user choice between New and Existing generation influence solution vs product led outcomes?
- Do you agree with the diagram, if so why? if not why?

Questions for brain storming:

- Who do you think the main groups will be in facilitating end user procurement?
- Your facilitator will record your views



Questions / Discussion

